Rubric for Educational Technology Tool Evaluation

Use the rubric to organize your evaluation. In "Remarks," write the corresponding descriptor and your notes. Not all criteria are applicable to all tools. In this case, write "N/A." The rubric does not identify a distinct threshold a tool must clear to warrant adoption; rather, it is a formative tool designed to support assessment of relative strengths and weaknesses of a tool against the criteria and subsequent discussion around potential for adoption.

| Category | Criterion | Works Well | Minor Concern | Serious Concern | Remarks |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Functionality | Ease of Use | The tool has a user-friendly | The tool has an interface | The interface is not user- | |
| | | interface. Instructors and | that may be confusing to | friendly for either instructor | |
| | | students can easily become | either instructor or learner | or learner: It is | |
| | | skillful in using the tool in a | and/or there is limited | cumbersome, unintuitive, | |
| | | personalized, intuitive | opportunity for | rigid, and inflexible. | |
| | | manner. | personalization. | | |
| | Mobile Access | The tool can be accessed by | The tool offers an app but | Access to the tool is limited | |
| | | downloading an app or via a | only for a limited set of | or absent on a mobile | |
| | | mobile browser regardless of | mobile operating systems | device. | |
| | | mobile operating system and | and is not accessible through | | |
| | | device with little functional | a mobile browser. | | |
| | | difference. The design | Functionality is limited | | |
| | | considers a smaller screen | compared to the desktop | | |
| | | size. | version. | | |
| | Tech Support | A robust support platform | Help documentation is | Tech support and/or help | |
| | & Help Docs | with help documentation and | available but limited, | documentation is | |
| | | tech support is available. | incomplete, or not user- | unavailable or severely | |
| | | | friendly. | lacking. | |
| Accessibility | Accessibility | The tool meets accessibility | The tool has limited capacity | The tool fails to meet | |
| | Standards | guidelines: W3C WCAG 2.1 | to meet accessibility | accessibility guidelines, or | |
| | | standards. | guidelines but is not | no information on | |
| | | | inaccessible in core | compliance is available for | |
| | | | categories. | the tool. | |
| Budget | Cost of Use | All aspects of the tool can be | Cost of use is likely to pose a | Cost of use is likely to pose | |
| | * "Reasonable" | used free of charge or for a | moderate financial burden | a significant financial | |
| | here depends on | reasonable* licensing fee paid | but is not significantly higher | burden and is significantly | |
| | current College and | per user or institutionally. | than similar ed tech tools. | higher than similar ed tech | |
| | departmental | | | tools. | |
| | operating | | | | |
| | budgets. | | | | |

Table 1. Rubric for Educational Technology Tool Evaluation

Developed by the Teaching & Learning Center for Excellence at Columbia Basin College, adapted from *Rubric for eLearning Tool Evaluation* by Lauren M. Anstey & Gavan P.L. Watson, Centre for Teaching and Learning, Western University, under <u>CC BY-NC-SA 4.0</u>

| Category | Criterion | Works Well | Minor Concern | Serious Concern | Remarks |
|--------------|---------------|--|--|--|---------|
| Technical | Learning | The tool integrates fully into | The tool can be embedded | The tool can only be | |
| | Management | an LMS (i.e., meets LTI | within an LMS—perhaps | accessed in an LMS by a link | |
| | System | compliance) or can be | with limited functionality— | going off-site or as a static | |
| | Integration/ | embedded while maintaining | but cannot be fully | representation (e.g., file | |
| | Embedding | full functionality. | integrated. | export). | |
| Privacy, | Account Sign- | Use of the tool does not | Either instructors are the | All users (instructors and | |
| Data | Up/Sign-In | require the creation of an | only users required to | learners) must provide | |
| Protection & | | external account or additional | provide personal | personal information to a | |
| Rights | | identity beyond the CBC | information to set up an | third party to create an | |
| | | single sign-on such that no | account, or the tool has | account. There are | |
| | | personal user information is | passed cybersecurity testing | questions or concerns | |
| | | collected and shared. | ensuring strict adherence to | about adherence to local | |
| | | | local and institutional | and institutional standards | |
| | | | standards for protection of | for protection of student | |
| | | | student personal data. | personal data. | |
| | Data Privacy | Users maintain ownership and | Users maintain ownership | Users forfeit ownership and | |
| | & | copyright of their intellectual | and copyright of their | copyright of data. Data is | |
| | Ownership | property and/or user data. | intellectual property and/or | shared publicly and cannot | |
| | | The user can keep data | user data. Data is shared | be made private, or no | |
| | | private and decide if and how | publicly and cannot be made | details are provided about | |
| | | data is shared. | private. | data privacy and | |
| Teeshing | Facilitation | The teal has seen to use | The tool has limited | ownership. The tool has not been | |
| Teaching | Facilitation | The tool has easy-to-use features that would | | | |
| Presence | | significantly improve an | functionality to effectively support an instructor's | designed to support an instructor's ability to be | |
| | | instructor's ability to be | ability to be present with | present with learners via | |
| | | present with learners via | learners via active | active management, | |
| | | active management, | management, monitoring, | monitoring, engagement, | |
| | | monitoring, engagement, and | engagement, and feedback. | and feedback. | |
| | | feedback. | | | |
| | Higher-Order | The tool enhances targeted | The tool provides a | The tool does not provide | |
| | Thinking | learning task(s) and higher- | functional improvement to | significant functional | |
| | Ū | order thinking in ways that | engagement in the targeted | change to engagement in | |
| | | simplify the task or increase | learning task(s). | learning task(s). | |
| | | student engagement. | | | |